| î | | |
|----------|--|-------------------|
| | | |
| 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANE DE KERVOR Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 174721 California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 | |
| 7 | San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING | |
| 11 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 2008-343 |
| 14 15 | MARY MARGARET CHAVES, a.k.a. MARY MARGARET EDWARDS 1543 Hanford Street | ACCUSATION |
| 16 | Redlands, CA 92374 | |
| 17 | Registered Nurse License No. 394412 | |
| 18 | Respondent. | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 20 | PARTIES PARTIES | |
| 21 | 1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation | |
| 22 | solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing | |
| 23 | ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. | |
| 24 | 2. On or about February 28, 1986, the Board issued Registered Nurse License | |
| 25 | Number 394412 to Mary Margaret Chaves, also known as Mary Margaret Edwards | |
| 26 | ("Respondent"). Respondent's registered nurse license was in full force and effect at all times | |
| 27 | relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2009, unless renewed. | |
| 28 | /// | |
| - 11 | | |

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2750 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 4. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 5. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions. . .
 - 6. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

. . . .

- (e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.
 - 7. Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for selfuse in humans or animals, and includes the following:

27

28

COST RECOVERY

12. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 13. "Dilaudid", a brand of hydromorphone, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by H&S Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(K), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it is available by prescription only.
- 14. "Morphine Sulfate" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by H&S Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it is available by prescription only.

PARKVIEW COMMUNITY HOSPITAL FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Diversion and Possession of a Controlled Substance)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that on or about July 31, 2005, while on duty as a registered nurse in the Emergency Room ("ER") at Parkview Community Hospital ("PCH") in Riverside, California, Respondent did the following:

Diversion of a Controlled Substance:

a. Respondent obtained the controlled substance Dilaudid by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of H&S Code section 11173, subdivision (a), as follows: At approximately 16:39 hours on the date indicated above, Respondent removed Dilaudid 4 mg from the Pyxis in the name of a patient when, in fact, there was no physician's order for the medication and the patient had been discharged from the hospital at 15:45 hours. Further, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Dilaudid in the ER records or the Nurse's Notes and otherwise account for the disposition of the Dilaudid 4 mg.

Possession of a Controlled Substance:

b. On the date indicated above, Respondent possessed 4 mg of the controlled substance Dilaudid without a valid prescription from a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor, in violation of Code section 4060.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Entries in Hospital/Patient Records)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (e), in that on or about July 31, 2005, while on duty as a registered nurse in the ER at PCH in Riverside, California, Respondent falsified, or made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to the controlled substance Dilaudid in that Respondent falsified the records of Patient "A" by writing in physician's orders for the administration of Dilaudid when, in fact, she had been given no such orders. On said date, registered nurse J.M. noticed Respondent completing her charting on a patient in the hallway. J.M. witnessed Respondent charting in the section for physician's orders and noted that Respondent had not written in "V/O or equivalent" (indicating a verbal order by the physician) near the orders she had written for the administration of Dilaudid to the patient. When J.M. contacted the patient's physician, Dr. G., to inquire as to whether he had given Respondent verbal orders for the administration of Dilaudid to his patient, he denied giving any such orders.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 17. Complainant incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraph 15 and 16 above.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about July 31, 2005, while on duty as a registered nurse in the ER of PCH, Respondent was guilty of gross negligence within the meaning of Regulation 1442, as follows:

28 | ///

///

2. On or about December 10, 2005, at approximately 10:54 a.m., Respondent removed Morphine Sulfate 10 mg under the name of a patient, when, in fact, there was no physician's order authorizing the medication for the patient. Further, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of the Morphine Sulfate in the patient's Medication Summaries and/or Nursing Notes in order to conceal her diversion of the Morphine Sulfate.

Possession of Controlled Substances:

b. On or about and between November 9, 2005, and January 8, 2006, Respondent possessed unknown quantities of the controlled substance Dilaudid and 10 mg of the controlled substance Morphine Sulfate without valid prescriptions from a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor, in violation of Code section 4060.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Entry in Hospital/Patient Records)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (e), in that on January 8, 2006, while on duty as a registered nurse in the ED at MVCH, Moreno Valley, California, Respondent falsified, or made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to the controlled substance Dilaudid in that Respondent falsified the records of Patient "B" by writing in physician's orders for the administration of Dilaudid when, in fact, she had been given no such orders. On said date, Respondent requested that registered nurse A.T. witness the wastage of some Dilaudid. When A.T. requested that Respondent show her a copy of the physician's orders in the patient's chart for the Dilaudid, Respondent told her that physician Dr. G. had given her a verbal order by telephone. A.T. then witnessed Respondent write the physician's orders for Dilaudid in the patient's chart. When nursing supervisor T.P. contacted Dr. G. to inquire as to whether he had given Respondent verbal orders for the administration of Dilaudid to his patient, he denied giving any such orders.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 21. Complainant incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 19 and 20 above.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about and between November 9, 2005, and January 8, 2006, while on duty as a registered nurse in the ED at MVCH, Respondent was guilty of gross negligence within the meaning of Regulation 1442, as follows:
- a. Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis and possessed, without physician's orders, the controlled substances Dilaudid and Morphine Sulfate. Further, Respondent failed to account for the administration of the Dilaudid and Morphine Sulfate, placing patient safety at risk.
- b. Respondent falsified the medical records of a patient by entering orders for the controlled substance Dilaudid that were not given by a physician, placing the patient's safety in grave danger.

16 | ///

///

///

///

///

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19 | ///

20 |

21

22 ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

28 80242

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 394412, issued to Mary Margaret Chaves, also known as Mary Margaret Edwards;
- 2. Ordering Mary Margaret Chaves, also known as Mary Margaret Edwards, to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: (100 10, 2008)

WITH ANN TERRY, M.P.H.,

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

03579110-SA2007302608 80242584.wpd